

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8 THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
9 FOR THE DISTRICT OF MONTANA
10 BILLINGS DIVISION
11

12 TODD KENNETH HOROB,
13 v.
14 JUDGE SUSAN P. WATTERS,
15

Plaintiff,

Defendant.

CASE NO. CV-17-00135 RJB-TJC

ORDER ON FINDINGS AND
RECOMMENDATIONS AND
OTHER MOTIONS

16 This matter comes before the Court on the Findings and Recommendations (“Report”) of
17 U.S. Magistrate Judge Timothy J. Cavan. Dkt. 15. The Court has considered the pleadings filed
18 regarding the report and the remaining record.

19 On October 6, 2017, Plaintiff, a *pro se* prisoner in federal custody at the Federal
20 Correction Complex Allenwood Low in White Deer, Pennsylvania, filed a proposed complaint
21 and application to proceed in *forma pauperis* (“IFP”). Dkt. 1. In his proposed complaint,
22 Plaintiff asserts that U.S. District Judge Susan P. Watters has held him “in false custody,” and as
23 a result, he was sexually assaulted. *Id.*

1 On November 29, 2017, the Report was issued from the United States Magistrate Judge
2 assigned to the case. Dkt. 15. The facts and procedural history are in the Report (Dkt. 15, at 1-
3 2) and are adopted here. The Report recommends dismissal of the case because Judge Watters is
4 entitled to absolute judicial immunity and because Plaintiff's claims challenge the fact or
5 duration of his confinement, and so are barred by *Heck v. Humphrey*, 512 U.S. 477, 486-87
6 (1994). Dkt. 15. Plaintiff was given fourteen days to file objections. *Id.*

7 On December 4, 2017, Plaintiff filed a "Motion to Proceed," in which he asserted that
8 Judge Watters "lied to hold [him] in federal custody." Dkt. 17. On December 5, 2017, Plaintiff
9 filed a "Motion to the Court for Help," alleging that the prison has denied him necessary medical
10 care and food. Dkt. 18. Plaintiff also reiterated his claim that Judge Watters lied and he uses
11 various slurs against her. *Id.* He maintained that Judge Watters wants him to die, which is why
12 he is getting no medical attention. *Id.*

13 On December 12, 2017, Plaintiff filed a motion for extension of time to file objections to
14 the Report and Recommendation. Dkt. 20. Plaintiff asserted that he has "a very serious medical
15 condition . . . [he] is starving and is in a lot of pain from the assault." *Id.* He maintained that he
16 "can't object in this kind of condition," and so "demands extension to object." *Id.*

17 On December 13, 2017, the case was reassigned to the undersigned. Dkt. 21.

18 Plaintiff's first motion for an extension of time to file objections was granted, and he was
19 given until January 5, 2018 to file his objections, if any. Dkt. 22. The Report, (Dkt. 15), and the
20 other pending motions, "Motion to Proceed," (Dkt. 17) and the "Motion to the Court for Help"
21 (Dkt. 18) were renoted for consideration for January 5, 2018. *Id.*

22 On January 2, 2018, Plaintiff filed a "Motion for Video Hearing," so that Plaintiff could
23 explain why Judge Watters can be sued. Dkt. 24. He makes reference to filing another "§ 2255
24

1 petition.” *Id.* That same day, Plaintiff filed a “Motion for Extension,” stating that he “is in Terre
2 Haute in being transported for medical attention” and needs more time to file objections. Dkt.
3 23. He did not specify how much time he needed to file the objections. *Id.*

4 Plaintiff’s “Motion for Extension” (Dkt. 23) was granted, and he was given to January
5 26, 2018, to file objections, if any to the Report. Dkt. 25.

6 On January 12, 2018, Plaintiff filed this third motion for extension of time. Dkt. 27. In
7 this motion, Plaintiff stated “objection is due Jan – 5 – 2018.” *Id.* He again stated that he
8 “cannot object by Jan – 5- 2018” because he was “being transferred for medical care,” and was
9 “unable to file objection at this time because of medical condition.” *Id.* He asked for an
10 “extension to object.” *Id.*

11 On January 16, 2018, Plaintiff’s third motion for an extension of time to file objections
12 (Dkt. 27) was denied. Dkt. 28. Plaintiff was reminded that his objections, if any, were due by
13 January 26, 2018. *Id.*

14 On January 22, 2018, Plaintiff filed a notice of change of address. Dkt. 29. He requested
15 that the Clerk of the Court send copies of orders from the case. *Id.* Plaintiff also noted that
16 “objections still needs to be filed.” *Id.*

17 That same day, the Clerk of the Court mailed Plaintiff copies of the three orders issued in
18 the case since the case was assigned to the undersigned (Dkts. 22, 25 and 28) at Plaintiff’s new
19 address.

20 On January 31, 2018, Plaintiff filed a Motion to Amend Complaint (Dkt. 30). In this
21 motion, Plaintiff states that he has not heard from the Court regarding his motion for extension of
22 time, and has been unable to file objections because of his transfer. *Id.* He further moves to
23 amend his complaint to add the United States of America because it “is responsible to pay Judge
24

1 Susan P. Watters damages to Todd Horob.” *Id.* Plaintiff asserts that Judge Watters is a liar, and
2 that the “United States District Court of Billings Montana is unsafe to the public.” *Id.* Plaintiff
3 maintains that “the public is concerned.” *Id.*

4 **Report.** The Report (Dkt. 15) should be adopted and the case dismissed. As provided in
5 the Report, all claims asserted against the Defendant, Judge Watters should be dismissed with
6 prejudice. Judge Watters has absolute judicial immunity against all claims asserted here.
7 Further, Plaintiff’s claims implicate the fact or duration of his custody and so are barred by *Heck*
8 *v. Humphrey*, 512 U.S. 477, 486-487 (1994).

9 Plaintiff has been afforded multiple opportunities to file objections. Instead, he filed
10 multiple motions. The Report (Dkt. 15) should be adopted. Further waiting for objections is not
11 justified.

12 **Consideration of Remaining Motions.** The pending motions, “Motion to Proceed,”
13 (Dkt. 17), the “Motion to the Court for Help” (Dkt. 18), and “Motion for Video Hearing” (Dkt.
14 24) should be denied as moot. Further, the Motion to Amend Complaint should be denied as
15 moot because it attempts to hold the United States liable for the actions of Judge Watters (who is
16 entitled to absolute judicial immunity).

17 It is **ORDERED** that:

- 18 • The Findings and Recommendations (Dkt. 15) **ARE ADOPTED**;
- 19 • This case **IS DISMISSED**; and
- 20 • Plaintiff’s “Motion to Proceed” (Dkt. 17), “Motion to the Court for Help” (Dkt.
21 18), “Motion for Video Hearing” (Dkt. 24) and Motion to Amend Complaint
22 (Dkt. 30) **ARE DENIED AS MOOT**.

The Clerk is directed to send uncertified copies of this Order to all counsel of record and to any party appearing pro se at said party's last known address.

Dated this 1st day of February, 2018.

Robert Bryan

ROBERT J. BRYAN
United States District Judge